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Legal Notice

This information was prepared by Gas Technology Institute ("GTI") for Operations Technology Development (OTD).

Neither GTI, the members of GTI, the Sponsor(s), nor any person acting on behalf of any of them:

a. Makes any warranty or representation, express or implied with respect to the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of the information contained in this report, or that the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report may not infringe privately-owned rights. Inasmuch as this project is experimental in nature, the technical information, results, or conclusions cannot be predicted. Conclusions and analysis of results by GTI represent GTI's opinion based on inferences from measurements and empirical relationships, which inferences and assumptions are not infallible, and with respect to which competent specialists may differ.

b. Assumes any liability with respect to the use of, or for any and all damages resulting from the use of, any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report; any other use of, or reliance on, this report by any third party is at the third party's sole risk.

c. The results within this report relate only to the items tested.
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Executive Summary

This addendum reports on additional test matrices that were designed to address feedback and questions that were raised by the project sponsors during the review of the results from Test Matrix 1 in the final report. Each of the five addendum matrices had a different pipe preparation procedure to address an associated question:

- **Test Matrix 2:** Testing the contribution of scraping to contaminant removal
  - Scraping was performed after solvent cleaning
  - All fusions fell in the 81%-100% ductility bin

- **Test Matrix 3:** Testing the contribution of water washing to contaminant removal
  - Scrupping was performed before water washing and solvent cleaning
  - Fusions did not consistently fall in the 81%-100% ductility bin.

- **Test Matrix 4:** Testing the contribution of additional solvent cleaning passes to contaminant removal
  - Scrupping was performed before solvent cleaning
  - Fusions on pipe contaminated with bentonite fell in the 81%-100% ductility bin
  - Fusions on pipe contaminated with silicone grease did not consistently fall in the 81%-100% ductility bin

- **Test Matrix 5:** Testing of proposed best practices procedure
  - Scrupping was performed after water washing and solvent cleaning
  - All fusions fell in the 81%-100% ductility bin

- **Test Matrix 6:** Testing of proposed best practices procedure without solvent cleaning
  - Scrupping was performed after water washing
  - All fusions fell in the 81%-100% ductility bin

- **Test Matrix 7:** Testing the influence of solvent cleaning after scraping on fusion ductility
  - Scrupping was performed after solvent cleaning
  - One solvent cleaning pass was performed after scraping (within the scraped area)
  - All fusions fell in the 81%-100% ductility bin

The addendum test results have led to the following key observations:

1. Tests where scraping was performed after contamination and water washing and/or solvent cleaning resulted in ductile bonding.
2. In tests where scraping was performed before contamination, additional solvent cleaning wipes improved removal of contamination, but did not always remove all contamination.
3. Test results where additional solvent cleaning was performed after scraping indicate that solvent cleaning is not inherently detrimental to PE fusion.

Observation 1 directly supports the recommended best practices scraping procedure given in the final report, where scraping is performed after cleaning (removal of loose surface contaminants) and fitting assembly and fusion are performed promptly after scraping to minimize the chances of contamination of the scraped pipe.

Observation 1 also suggests that the choice of cleaning solvent for a surface that is going to be scraped is not critical if scraping is performed promptly prior to fusion. This is further supported by the results of Test Matrix 6 where only a water wash was performed (no solvent cleaning) to remove the loose bentonite powder and bulk of silicone grease.
Observation 2 reinforces the observations and conclusions in the final report where solvent cleaning was found to be inconsistent in terms of surface contamination removal. Observation 2, however, also suggest that multiple solvent wiping passes may be a valid option when scraped pipe has been contaminated. Further work on solvent cleaning technique and multiple wiping passes would be required to prove this, as the number of test runs under this scope was limited. Moreover, the tests conducted in this project only considered severely contaminate pipes with two of the most problematic contaminants: bentonite and silicone grease. The efficacy of solvent cleaning on light contamination and other contaminants1, such as natural body oil from fingerprints or sweat, lubricants, etc., would need to be determined by additional testing.

Observation 3 indicates that the practice of solvent cleaning of fusion surfaces between the scraping and fusion steps is not inherently detrimental to PE fusion. However, Observation 1 also indicates that this practice is not essential. It is up to the operator to determine if this practice should be included in their fusion procedures. It is important to note that care should be taken to avoid the possibility of transferring contaminants onto the scraped surface via the solvent cleaning process, for example: by wiping beyond the scraped area or exposing the wipe to a dusty environment. Also, if a rough surface has been created by the scraping process, there is a possibility that the wiping tool may get snagged and leave some of its matter (fibers) on the pipe. Sealed, pre-saturated solvent wipes can help minimize exposure to a dusty environment.

Also of note is that if scraping tools with serrated blades are used, then solvent cleaning of the roughened pipe surface cannot guarantee that contamination will be removed from in between the ridges of the scraped surface.

It should be noted that in all the addendum tests, the electrofusion saddles were taken out from their individual packaging promptly prior to assembly and no cleaning was performed on them. Fittings should be expected to be in pristine condition while in the manufacturer’s packaging. If a fitting becomes contaminated in the field, it should be treated in the same manner as a scraped pipe that becomes contaminated – that is, with acknowledgment that solvent cleaning may not remove all the contamination and thus rejection of the fitting should be considered1.

Prevention of contamination of the fusion surfaces can be achieved by accomplishing three important steps:

1. Removal of all loose and spreadable contaminants (dirt, oil, grease) on the pipe (cleaning).
2. Proper scraping of the pipe promptly after cleaning.
3. Assembly of the fitting and performing the fusion promptly after scraping.

Step 1 all loose and spreadable surface contaminants are removed (cleaning) so that when scraping is performed, loose contaminants are not transferred onto the scraped surface.

Step 2 scraping is performed promptly after cleaning to minimize the chances of loose contamination settling back on the cleaned surface due to wind, static electricity, etc. Proper scraping refers to uniform removal of sufficient material (minimum scrape depth).

Step 3 the fitting is assembled and fused on to the scraped surface promptly after scraping to minimize the chances of loose contamination settling on the scraped surface. Freshly scraped pipe is the cleanest surface possible, therefore, additional solvent cleaning is not inherently necessary provided the

---

scraping/peeling tool was clean and no contamination was transferred or introduced onto the scraped pipe during scraping. It is important to note that touching of the fitting’s fusion surface(s) and the scraped pipe/fitting stub must be avoided as oil from fingerprints may be detrimental to PE fusion.

Additional contamination mitigation steps may be necessary in adverse conditions such as strong wind, rain, flooded trench, and/or high static electricity. Such mitigation steps should be included in standard operator training.

Figure 1 shows a top-level workflow of a generic PE pipe preparation procedure that incorporates the three critical steps above and other best-practices discussed in the final report. Figure 2 and Figure 3 show the cleaning and scraping areas schematics associated with the recommended best-practices procedure.

The recommended procedure given here is not a directive or a standard, nor is it intended to be complete – operators are free to accept or reject any of the steps, and/or add steps they deem necessary. The salient recommendation is that pipe preparation procedures accomplish the three steps above, by whichever means.

---

2 This procedure is an update to the procedure presented in the final reports of this project and OTD Project 2.14.e Guidelines/Best Practices for Scraping PE Pipe and Fittings, and thus supersedes the earlier versions.

3 These schematics are the same as in the final reports of this project and OTD Project 2.14.e Guidelines/Best Practices for Scraping PE Pipe and Fittings.
Figure 1. Top-level generic PE pipe preparation procedure incorporating best-practices

Wash pipe with clean water or solvent to remove all bulk and loose dirt
*Clean an extra 3 feet on each side of the fusion area, if possible*

Ready to clean?

No

Store the first and last wipes used in the solvent cleaning step in a sealed plastic bag
*Analyze wipes/solvent residue for contaminant traces as a quality check (QC)*

Clean pipe with solvent and a clean lint-free wiping tool to remove all loose and/or spreadable contaminants
*Wipe along pipe axis, in a consistent direction
Move in a consistent direction around pipe drum circumference
Use a clean wiping tool for each cleaning pass*

Yes

Ready to scrape and fuse fitting?

No

Mark area to be scraped (for visual confirmation of scraping) and then scrape pipe
*Follow tool check guidelines
Follow scrape depth guidelines*

Remove fitting from the manufacturer’s protective packaging promptly prior to assembly
*Operator may consider solvent cleaning of the fusion zone(s) of the fitting
This step is expected to approximately coincide with completion of scraping*

Assemble and fuse fitting promptly after scraping
*Follow assembly guidelines*
Figure 2. Schematic of cleaning and scraping areas for a saddle fitting

Figure 3. Schematic of cleaning and scraping areas for a coupling fitting
General notes on PE fusion and contamination

PE fusion is a stochastic process where two molten interfaces are brought into contact such that upon cooling and solidification the interfaces co-crystallize to form a ductile welded area. This fusion process is normally robust within a relatively wide range of its critical process parameters: temperature and interfacial pressure. However, the presence of certain contaminants, such as silicates, oils, and surfactants, are highly detrimental to PE’s co-crystallization process.

Fusions that fail due to contamination exhibit smooth, non-ductile separation of the fitting-pipe fusion interface, either in part or in whole. It is important to note, however, that even such areas of non-ductile bonding still have some degree of bonding which can often lead to joints that pass pressure tests (e.g., 150% MAOP) and in some cases even hold operating pressure for years, but such joints are highly sensitive to impact loads and, in cases of saddles, to forces that would cause the joint to “peel” off the pipe.

Because contamination failures are typically difficult to identify in the field, it is important that the fusion preparation processes reflect this awareness and that operators adhere to the precautions and best-practices that help minimize fusion contamination.

A note on the general applicability of this work to PE fusion

Although only electrofusion saddle fittings were tested under this scope of work, the conclusions regarding solvent cleaning and the cleaning procedure recommendations provided here are generally applicable to all PE fusion methods and fitting types. This general applicability is based on the fact that PE’s fusion process is the same regardless of the method by which the surfaces to be fused are mated (see General notes on PE fusion and contamination above).
Addendum Testing

The contamination removal and electrofusion test matrix covered in the final report (henceforth referred to as Test Matrix 1) included 120 test runs that covered the combinations of the contaminant/agent/tool parameters listed in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contaminant</th>
<th>Cleaning Agent</th>
<th>Cleaning Tool</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Talc</td>
<td>99% Isopropyl Alcohol</td>
<td>Lint-free wipe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bentonite</td>
<td>91% Isopropyl Alcohol</td>
<td>Cloth rag</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silicon grease</td>
<td>Acetone</td>
<td>Paper towel</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The addendum test matrices below were designed to address feedback and questions that were raised by the project sponsors during the review of the results from Test Matrix 1. Each of the four addendum matrices had a different pipe preparation procedure to address an associated question, as described below. Bolded procedure steps are deviations from the Test Matrix 1 procedure steps above.

Note that only the ‘lint-free wipe’ tool was tested to not exceed the remaining project budget. Despite testing of just a single tool, the addendum tests provided answers to the questions raised.

In tests where water was used to wash the pipe, deionized (DI) water in a squirt bottle was used.

The fused electrofusion saddles from the various addendum test matrices were subjected to an ISO 13956 Type A2 decohesion test and were ranked based on the percent of the fusion zone that exhibited ductile separation, as described in the final report.

The list of individual addendum test runs, their associated test matrix, and decohesion test ductility bin is given in Appendix A – Addendum Test Run List with Results. Photographs of the individual decohesion test results are provided in Appendix B – Decohesion Test Result Photographs.

Appendix C – Wiping Technique Reference provides a general photographic reference demonstrating the solvent cleaning wiping technique that was employed for all tests.

It is important to note that due to a limited number of test runs the results of Test Matrix 3 and Test Matrix 4, where fusion quality was inconsistent due to scraping preceding the solvent cleaning step, should be taken as instructive and not definitive.
Test Matrix 1 – Results Reference

Provided here for quick reference are Figure 4 and Figure 5 which show the test results from Test Matrix 1 where the lint-free wipe was used. The results from the addendum test matrices should be compared to Figure 4 and Figure 5 to see how their respective test procedure modifications affected the electrofusion saddle fusion quality.

The cleaning procedure used in Test Matrix 1 had the following steps:

1. Scrape the pipe (using O.E.M. ‘Peeler’).
2. Contaminate the pipe.
3. Wet the tool with the solvent.
4. Make one wiping pass along pipe axis, starting at one end of the scraped zone and moving to its other end, with the wipe fully encircling the pipe (2” IPS).
5. If contaminant was still visible on the fusion zone, repeat step 3 and step 4 with a new tool.
6. Wait for pipe to dry.
7. Assemble electrofusion saddle (as soon as pipe is dry) and perform fusion.
8. Fuse saddle (at room temperature).
9. Perform decohesion test on fused saddle.

As can be seen in Figure 4 and Figure 5, and discussed in the final report, performing solvent cleaning after scraping until contamination is visually removed, does not result in consistent removal of all surface contamination. Silicone grease is especially difficult to remove with solvent cleaning (Figure 5).

![Graph: Test Matrix 1 w/Lint-Free Wipe, Bentonite, Cleaning Agent Performance vs. Ductility Bin](image)

**Figure 4. Test Matrix 1 results with lint-free wipe, bentonite contamination**

The test results shown in Figure 4 are from four runs per solvent.
Figure 5. Test Matrix 1 results with lint-free wipe, silicone grease contamination

The test results shown in Figure 5 are from six runs with 99% isopropyl alcohol, 4 runs with 91% isopropyl alcohol, and 4 runs with acetone.
Test Matrix 2 – Testing the contribution of scraping to contaminant removal

This set of tests was designed to test if scraping after solvent cleaning consistently removes all contamination. The key difference between Test Matrix 2 and Test Matrix 1 is that scraping is performed after solvent cleaning.

1. Contaminate pipe (using contaminant from test matrix).
2. Saturate a new tool with agent (using agent and tool combination from test matrix).
3. Wipe (single pass) the pipe sample (entire circumference) from end to end in a single direction.
4. If contaminant is visible on the fusion zone, repeat steps 2 and 3 until it appears the contaminant has been removed.
5. **Scrape the pipe within the solvent cleaned area (using O.E.M. ‘Peeler’) once the agent on the pipe has dried.**
6. Fuse saddle (at room temperature).
7. Perform decohesion test on fused saddle.

As can be seen in **Figure 6**, performing the scraping after solvent cleaning resulted in all fusions falling in the 81-100% ductility bin, indicating consistent removal of contamination for both bentonite and silicone grease.

![Figure 6. Test Matrix 2 results, bentonite and silicone grease](image-url)
Figure 7 shows the ductility results of the control samples, which were not contaminated. All fusions fell in the 81-100% ductility bin, as expected.

![Test Matrix 2 Control Samples, Cleaning Agent Performance vs. Ductility Bin](image)

**Figure 7. Test Matrix 2 control sample (no contamination) results**
Test Matrix 3 – Testing the contribution of water washing to contaminant removal

This set of tests was designed to test if water washing prior to solvent cleaning results in better surface contaminant removal than solvent cleaning alone. The key difference between Test Matrix 3 and Test Matrix 1 is that the pipe is washed with water before solvent cleaning.

1. Scrape pipe (using O.E.M. ‘Peeler’).
2. Contaminate pipe (using contaminant from test matrix).
3. Wash pipe with water.
4. Dry water. Wipe the pipe sample (entire circumference) from end to end in a single direction (every pass with new tool).
5. Saturate a fresh tool with agent (using agent and tool combination from test matrix).
6. Wipe (single pass) the pipe sample (entire circumference) from end to end in a single direction (within water washed area).
7. If contaminant is visible on the fusion zone, repeat steps 5 and 6 until it appears the contaminant has been removed.
8. Fuse saddle (at room temperature).
9. Perform decohesion test on fused saddle.

The results of Test Matrix 3 (Figure 8 and Figure 9) show that the water wash prior to solvent cleaning had no improvement to contamination removal.

![Figure 8. Test Matrix 3 results, bentonite](image-url)
Figure 9. Test Matrix 3 results, silicone grease

Figure 10 shows the ductility results of the control samples, which were not contaminated. All fusions fell in the 81-100% ductility bin, as expected.

Figure 10. Test Matrix 3 control sample (no contamination) results
Test Matrix 4 – Testing the contribution of additional solvent cleaning passes to contaminant removal

This set of tests is intended to quantify the effectiveness of additional solvent cleaning passes. These tests begin to address the question: is solvent cleaning appropriate and sufficient after scraping? This is asked in the context of contamination of pipe that has already been cleaned and scraped.

The key difference between Test Matrix 4 and Test Matrix 1 is that additional solvent wiping passes are performed after visual indication of contaminant removal.

1. Scrape pipe (using O.E.M. 'Peeler').
2. Contaminate pipe (using contaminant from test matrix).
3. Saturate a fresh tool with agent (using agent and tool combination from test matrix).
4. Wipe (single pass) the pipe sample (entire circumference) from end to end in a single direction.
5. Repeat steps 3 and 4 until it appears the contaminant has been removed.
6. **Repeat steps 3 and 4 again based on the test matrix specification (one or two repetitions).**
7. Fuse saddle (at room temperature).
8. Perform decohesion test on fused saddle.
The results of Test Matrix 4 show that repeated solvent wiping passes improved bentonite removal with both 99% isopropyl alcohol and acetone (Figure 11).

![Figure 11. Test Matrix 4 results, bentonite](image-url)
Additional wiping passes also improved silicone grease removal with 99% isopropyl alcohol, but did not appear to improve removal with acetone (Figure 12). It should be noted that only four runs with acetone were performed under this matrix and therefore these results should not be treated as definitive.

![Figure 12. Test Matrix 4 results, silicone grease](image-url)
Figure 13 shows the ductility results of the control samples, which were not contaminated. All fusions fell in the 81-100% ductility bin, as expected.

Figure 13. Test Matrix 4 control sample (no contamination) results
Test Matrix 5 – Testing of proposed best practices procedure

This set of tests is designed to determine if the preparation procedure based on the lessons learned in this project and OTD Project 21674 Scraping Best Practices consistently results in 100% ductile fusions.

The key difference between Test Matrix 5 and Test Matrix 1 is that the pipe is washed with water before solvent cleaning, and scraping is performed after solvent cleaning.

1. Contaminate pipe (using contaminant from test matrix).
2. Wash pipe with water.
3. Dry water. Wipe the pipe sample (entire circumference) from end to end in a single direction (every pass with new tool).
4. Saturate a fresh tool with agent (using agent and tool combination from test matrix).
5. Wipe (single pass) the pipe sample (entire circumference) from end to end in a single direction.
6. Repeat steps 4 and 5 until it appears the contaminant has been removed.
7. Scrape the pipe within the solvent cleaned area (O.E.M. 'Peeler') once the agent on the pipe has dried.
8. Fuse saddle (at room temperature).
9. Perform decohesion test on fused saddle.

Test Matrix 5 has the same results (Figure 14) as Test Matrix 2 (Figure 6). All fusions fell within the top ductility band, confirming that the proposed best-practices procedure in the final report can consistently remove surface contaminants, with all tested contaminants and solvents.

![Test Matrix 5 Cleaning Agent Performance vs. Ductility Bin](image)

*Figure 14. Test Matrix 5 results, bentonite and silicone grease*
**Figure 15** shows the ductility results of the control samples, which were not contaminated. All fusions fell in the 81-100% ductility bin, as expected.

![Chart showing ductility results](chart.png)

**Figure 15. Test Matrix 5 control sample (no contamination) results**
Of special mention was one test run – Index 86 in Table 2, in Appendix A – Addendum Test Run List) – where the scraping left a non-scraped sliver, as can be seen in Figure 16. This example of incomplete scraping, where only the missed sliver had no ductile bonding, reinforces two of the major takeaways from the final report and this addendum (further discussed in Discussion and Conclusions):

- Removal of loose contaminants is crucial for preventing the transfer of contaminants as scraping is performed, and,
- Scraping is the critical step for removal of any remaining, non-loose, surface contaminants.

Additionally, this example illustrates the importance of operator training on the tools they use and procedural checks of workmanship in the field.

Figure 16. Example of incomplete scraping
Test Matrix 6 – Testing of proposed best practices procedure without solvent cleaning

This set of tests is designed to assess if solvent cleaning is critical in achieving good fusions. The procedure is the same as Test Matrix 5 except for omitting the solvent cleaning step and using water as the cleaning agent. Also, silicone grease and bentonite powder were applied simultaneously to contaminate the pipe, as shown in **Figure 18** through **Figure 20**.

1. Contaminate pipe with silicone grease (three longitudinal lines over the fusion zone) and bentonite powder.
2. Wash pipe with water.
3. Dry water. Wipe the pipe sample (entire circumference) from end to end in a single direction (every pass with new tool).
4. Repeat steps 2 and 3 until it appears the contaminant(s) has been removed.
5. Scrape pipe within the water washed area (O.E.M. 'Peeler') once the water on the pipe has dried.
6. Fuse saddle (at room temperature).
7. Perform decohesion test on fused saddle.

All the fusions from Test Matrix 6 fell within the top ductility band (**Figure 17**), indicating that cleaning with a solvent (or choice of solvent) is not critical. This finding lends support to the understanding that what is critical is that any loose contaminants are thoroughly removed prior to scraping, which is achievable with water\(^4\). The scraping step is the critical step where non-loose surface contaminants are removed.

---

4 Water is not appropriate at temperatures at or below its freezing point. Solvent cleaning is necessary under these conditions. Solvents are also generally preferable from an evaporation perspective.
Figure 18. Test Matrix 6 specimen after silicone grease contamination, red arrows point to the three lines.

Figure 19. Test Matrix 6 specimen after bentonite powder contamination.

Figure 20. Test Matrix 6 specimen after water wash and wipe. Red arrows show remaining silicone grease streaks.
Test Matrix 7 – Testing the influence of solvent cleaning after scraping on fusion ductility

This set of tests is designed to determine if solvent cleaning is detrimental to PE fusion. The key difference between the recommended best practices procedure and this test procedure is the additional solvent wiping pass after scraping. This procedure will include both contaminated and non-contaminated pipes.

The preparation procedure will be as follows:

1. Contaminate pipe (if applicable according to test matrix)
2. Saturate a fresh tool with agent (using agent from Test Matrix 7).
3. Wipe (single pass) the pipe sample (entire circumference) from end to end in a single direction.
4. Repeat steps 1 and 2 until it appears the pipe is clean.
5. Scrape pipe (O.E.M. 'Peeler') once the agent on the pipe has dried.
6. Saturate a fresh tool with agent (using agent from Test Matrix 7).
7. Wipe (single pass) the pipe sample (entire circumference) within the scraped area in a single direction.
8. Fuse saddle (at room temperature), once the agent on the pipe has dried.
9. Perform decohesion test on fused saddle.

The results of Test Matrix 7, shown in Figure 21 and Figure 22, indicate that additional solvent cleaning passes after scraping are not inherently detrimental to PE fusion, however, it is important to note that care should be taken to avoid the possibility of transferring contaminants onto the scraped surface via the solvent cleaning process, for example: by wiping beyond the scraped area or exposing the wipe to a dusty environment. Sealed, pre-saturated solvent wipes can help minimize exposure to a dusty environment.
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Figure 21. Test Matrix 7 results, samples with bentonite contamination
Figure 22. Test Matrix 7 control sample (no contamination) results
Comparison of Solvent Performance

**Figure 23** shows a comparison between fusions prepared with different solvents, where scraping was followed by contamination, followed by solvent cleaning. The chart shows what is the probability of a fusion (performed under these conditions) to fall within each ductility bin. The error bars represent the upper and lower credibility bounds of the respective probabilities.

As can be seen in **Figure 23**, fusions that followed solvent cleaning with 99% isopropyl alcohol were more likely to fall within the top ductility bin than 91% isopropyl alcohol. Solvent cleaning with acetone was less likely to produce a fusion in the top ductility bin than both 99% and 91% isopropyl alcohol. Overall, however, when contamination and solvent cleaning follow scraping, all solvents showed the same probability trends with less than a 50% chance of a successful fusion. These probabilities were obtained from 62 99% isopropyl alcohol runs, 48 91% isopropyl alcohol runs, and 48 acetone runs (Test Matrix 1, 3, and 4).

![Graph showing probability of fusion ductility](image)

**Figure 23. Solvent performance comparison, probability of fusion ductility – scraping followed by contamination, followed by solvent cleaning**
**Figure 24** shows a comparison between fusions prepared with different solvents (as in **Figure 23**), but for fusions where scraping followed contamination and solvent cleaning. The results for fusions performed on pipes that were prepared with different solvents are the same in this case; showing a high probability of a successful fusion, due to scraping being the final preparation step before fusion. These probabilities were obtained from 20 99% isopropyl alcohol runs, eight 91% isopropyl alcohol runs, and 16 acetone runs (Test Matrix 2, 5, and 6).
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**Figure 24. Solvent performance comparison, probability of fusion ductility – contamination followed by solvent cleaning, followed by scraping**

In conclusion, based on the tests performed, comparison between solvents shows that:

- All solvents have less than a 50% likelihood of removing all contaminants, and,
- When scraping was performed after solvent cleaning, all fusions fell in the top ductility bin.

Thus, solvent choice is not critical – **scraping is the critical final step for contamination removal.**
Comparison of Fusions Performed on MDPE Pipe and HDPE Pipe

Figure 25 shows a comparison between the fusions performed on MDPE pipe and HDPE pipe, where scraping was followed by contamination, followed by solvent cleaning. The chart shows what is the probability of a fusion (performed under these conditions) to fall within each ductility bin. The error bars represent the upper and lower credibility bounds of the respective probabilities.

As can be seen in Figure 25, fusions performed on HDPE pipe exhibited a higher probability that the ductility will fall in the desired 81-100% bin, as compared to fusions performed on MDPE pipe. It should be noted here that all electrofusion saddle fittings were made from HDPE and, therefore, this result is likely reflecting a difference between HDPE-to-HDPE and HDPE-to-MDPE fusions when contamination is present.

Overall, however, when contamination and solvent cleaning follow scraping, the fusion to HDPE and MDPE pipes showed the same probability trends with less than a 50% chance of a successful fusion. These probabilities were obtained from 79 fusions per pipe material (Test Matrix 1, 3, and 4).

Figure 25. HDPE-to-MDPE vs HDPE-to-HDPE fusions, probability of fusion ductility – scraping followed by contamination, followed by solvent cleaning
Figure 26 shows a comparison between fusions performed on MDPE pipe and HDPE pipe (as in Figure 25), but for fusions where scraping followed contamination and solvent cleaning. The results for fusions performed on HDPE and MDPE pipes are the same in this case; showing a high probability of a successful fusion. These probabilities were obtained from 24 fusions per pipe material (Test Matrix 2, 5, and 6).

In conclusion, comparison between HDPE-to-HDPE and HDPE-to-MDPE fusions shows that:

1. Both material combinations are similarly affected by contamination with less than a 50% chance of a successful fusion when contamination is present.
2. The suggested best practice of scraping promptly before fitting assembly and fusion applies to both material combinations.

The conclusions above should extend to MDPE-to-MDPE fusions as well.
Discussion and Conclusions

The addendum test results have led to the following key observations:

1. Tests where scraping was performed after contamination and water washing and/or solvent cleaning resulted in ductile bonding.
2. In tests where scraping was performed before contamination, additional solvent cleaning wipes improved removal of contamination, but did not always remove all contamination.
3. Test results where additional solvent cleaning was performed after scraping indicate that solvent cleaning is not inherently detrimental to PE fusion.

Observation 1 directly supports the recommended best practices scraping procedure provided in the final report, where scraping is performed after cleaning (removal of loose surface contaminants) and fitting assembly and fusion are performed promptly after scraping to minimize the chances of contamination of the scraped pipe.

Observation 1 also suggests that the choice of cleaning solvent for a surface that is going to be scraped is not critical if scraping is performed promptly prior to fusion. This is further supported by the results of Test Matrix 6 where only a water wash was performed (no solvent cleaning) to remove the loose bentonite powder and bulk of silicone grease.

Observation 2 reinforces the observations and conclusions in the final report where solvent cleaning was found to be inconsistent in terms of surface contamination removal. Observation 2, however, also suggest that multiple solvent wiping passes may be a valid option when scraped pipe has been contaminated. Further work on solvent cleaning technique and multiple wiping passes would be required to prove this, as the number of test runs under this scope was limited.

Observation 3 indicates that the practice of solvent cleaning of fusion surfaces between the scraping and fusion steps is not inherently detrimental to PE fusion. However, Observation 1 also indicates that this practice is not essential. It is up to the operator to determine if this practice should be included in their fusion procedures. It is important to note that care should be taken to avoid the possibility of transferring contaminants onto the scraped surface via the solvent cleaning process, for example: by wiping beyond the scraped area or exposing the wipe to a dusty environment. Also, if a rough surface has been created by the scraping process, there is a possibility that the wiping tool may get snagged and leave some of its matter (fibers) on the pipe. Sealed, pre-saturated solvent wipes can help minimize exposure to a dusty environment.

Also of note is that if scraping tools with serrated blades are used, then solvent cleaning of the roughened pipe surface cannot guarantee that contamination will be removed from in between the ridges of the scraped surface.

It should be noted that in all the addendum tests, the electrofusion saddles were taken out from their individual packaging promptly prior to assembly and no cleaning was performed on them. If a fitting becomes contaminated in the field, it should be treated in the same manner as a scraped pipe that becomes contaminated – that is, with acknowledgment that solvent cleaning may not fully remove the types of contaminants tested in this work (talc, bentonite, silicone grease) and thus rejection of the fitting should be considered. Talc and bentonite may be considered as proxies for other types of mineral silicates (soil dirt) in terms of their detrimental effect on PE fusion.
Potential Future Work

Additional wiping tool and solvent combinations, as well as the associated wiping techniques, could be tested in a follow-on project. This work will be applicable to cases where solvent cleaning is performed after scraping.

Future work could also include testing of non-rotary, hand-held scrapers, which may be the only available scraping tool for saddle installations on large diameter pipes (10” IPS and above).
### Appendix A – Addendum Test Run List with Results

#### Table 2. Addendum Test Matrices Run List and Decohesion Test Ductility Bin

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Matrix Index</th>
<th>Run Index</th>
<th>Contaminant</th>
<th>Cleaning Agent</th>
<th>Cleaning Tool</th>
<th>Pipe Material</th>
<th>Replicate Index</th>
<th>Additional Passes</th>
<th>Decohesion Ductility Bin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Bentonite</td>
<td>99% Isopropyl Alcohol</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe</td>
<td>MDPE</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>81-100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Bentonite</td>
<td>99% Isopropyl Alcohol</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe</td>
<td>MDPE</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>81-100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Bentonite</td>
<td>99% Isopropyl Alcohol</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe</td>
<td>HDPE</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>81-100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Bentonite</td>
<td>99% Isopropyl Alcohol</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe</td>
<td>HDPE</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>81-100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Silicone Grease</td>
<td>99% Isopropyl Alcohol</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe</td>
<td>MDPE</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>81-100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Silicone Grease</td>
<td>99% Isopropyl Alcohol</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe</td>
<td>MDPE</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>81-100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Silicone Grease</td>
<td>99% Isopropyl Alcohol</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe</td>
<td>HDPE</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>81-100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Silicone Grease</td>
<td>99% Isopropyl Alcohol</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe</td>
<td>HDPE</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>81-100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Bentonite</td>
<td>91% Isopropyl Alcohol</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe</td>
<td>MDPE</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>81-100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Bentonite</td>
<td>91% Isopropyl Alcohol</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe</td>
<td>HDPE</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>81-100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Silicone Grease</td>
<td>91% Isopropyl Alcohol</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe</td>
<td>MDPE</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>81-100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Silicone Grease</td>
<td>91% Isopropyl Alcohol</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe</td>
<td>HDPE</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>81-100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Bentonite</td>
<td>Acetone</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe</td>
<td>MDPE</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>81-100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Bentonite</td>
<td>Acetone</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe</td>
<td>HDPE</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>81-100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Silicone Grease</td>
<td>Acetone</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe</td>
<td>MDPE</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>81-100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>Silicone Grease</td>
<td>Acetone</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe</td>
<td>HDPE</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>81-100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>99% Isopropyl Alcohol</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe</td>
<td>MDPE</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>81-100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>99% Isopropyl Alcohol</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe</td>
<td>HDPE</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>81-100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>91% Isopropyl Alcohol</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe</td>
<td>MDPE</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>81-100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>91% Isopropyl Alcohol</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe</td>
<td>HDPE</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>81-100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Acetone</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe</td>
<td>MDPE</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>81-100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Acetone</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe</td>
<td>HDPE</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>81-100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>Bentonite</td>
<td>99% Isopropyl Alcohol</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe</td>
<td>MDPE</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0-20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Solvent Cleaning</td>
<td>PE Joining Procedures</td>
<td>Public FINAL Report Addendum</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GTI-OTD Confidential</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bentonite</td>
<td>99% Isopropyl Alcohol</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe MDPE 2 0 0-20%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>Bentonite</td>
<td>99% Isopropyl Alcohol</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe HDPE 1 0 0-20%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>Bentonite</td>
<td>99% Isopropyl Alcohol</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe HDPE 2 0 0-20%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>Silicone Grease</td>
<td>99% Isopropyl Alcohol</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe MDPE 1 0 41-60%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>Silicone Grease</td>
<td>99% Isopropyl Alcohol</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe MDPE 2 0 21-40%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>Silicone Grease</td>
<td>99% Isopropyl Alcohol</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe HDPE 1 0 41-60%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>Silicone Grease</td>
<td>99% Isopropyl Alcohol</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe HDPE 2 0 61-80%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Bentonite</td>
<td>91% Isopropyl Alcohol</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe MDPE 1 0 21-40%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>Bentonite</td>
<td>91% Isopropyl Alcohol</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe HDPE 1 0 0-20%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>Silicone Grease</td>
<td>91% Isopropyl Alcohol</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe MDPE 1 0 0-20%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Silicone Grease</td>
<td>91% Isopropyl Alcohol</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe HDPE 1 0 41-60%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>Silicone Grease</td>
<td>91% Isopropyl Alcohol</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe HDPE 1 0 81-100%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Silicone Grease</td>
<td>Acetone</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe MDPE 1 0 21-40%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>Silicone Grease</td>
<td>Acetone</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe HDPE 1 0 81-100%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>Silicone Grease</td>
<td>Acetone</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe MDPE 1 0 81-100%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>Silicone Grease</td>
<td>Acetone</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe HDPE 1 0 81-100%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>99% Isopropyl Alcohol</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe MDPE 1 0 81-100%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>99% Isopropyl Alcohol</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe HDPE 1 0 81-100%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>91% Isopropyl Alcohol</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe MDPE 1 0 81-100%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>91% Isopropyl Alcohol</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe HDPE 1 0 81-100%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Acetone</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe MDPE 1 0 81-100%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Acetone</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe HDPE 1 0 81-100%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>Bentonite</td>
<td>99% Isopropyl Alcohol</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe MDPE 1 1 81-100%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>Bentonite</td>
<td>99% Isopropyl Alcohol</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe MDPE 2 1 81-100%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>Bentonite</td>
<td>99% Isopropyl Alcohol</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe MDPE 1 1 81-100%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>Bentonite</td>
<td>99% Isopropyl Alcohol</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe MDPE 2 1 81-100%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>Bentonite</td>
<td>99% Isopropyl Alcohol</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe MDPE 1 2 81-100%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Bentonite</td>
<td>99% Isopropyl Alcohol</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe MDPE 2 2 81-100%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>Bentonite</td>
<td>99% Isopropyl Alcohol</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe MDPE 1 2 81-100%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cleaning Material</td>
<td>Solvent</td>
<td>Wipe Type</td>
<td>Joining Material</td>
<td>Percentage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>Bentonite</td>
<td>99% Isopropyl Alcohol</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe</td>
<td>HDPE</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>81-100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>Silicone Grease</td>
<td>99% Isopropyl Alcohol</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe</td>
<td>MDPE</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>61-80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>Silicone Grease</td>
<td>99% Isopropyl Alcohol</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe</td>
<td>MDPE</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0-20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>Silicone Grease</td>
<td>99% Isopropyl Alcohol</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe</td>
<td>HDPE</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>81-100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>Silicone Grease</td>
<td>99% Isopropyl Alcohol</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe</td>
<td>HDPE</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>81-100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>Silicone Grease</td>
<td>99% Isopropyl Alcohol</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe</td>
<td>MDPE</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>81-100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>Silicone Grease</td>
<td>99% Isopropyl Alcohol</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe</td>
<td>MDPE</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>81-100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>Silicone Grease</td>
<td>99% Isopropyl Alcohol</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe</td>
<td>HDPE</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>81-100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>Silicone Grease</td>
<td>99% Isopropyl Alcohol</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe</td>
<td>HDPE</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>81-100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>Bentonite</td>
<td>91% Isopropyl Alcohol</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe</td>
<td>MDPE</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>81-100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>Bentonite</td>
<td>91% Isopropyl Alcohol</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe</td>
<td>HDPE</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>81-100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>Bentonite</td>
<td>91% Isopropyl Alcohol</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe</td>
<td>MDPE</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>81-100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>Bentonite</td>
<td>91% Isopropyl Alcohol</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe</td>
<td>HDPE</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>81-100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>Silicone Grease</td>
<td>91% Isopropyl Alcohol</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe</td>
<td>MDPE</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>41-60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>Silicone Grease</td>
<td>91% Isopropyl Alcohol</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe</td>
<td>HDPE</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>21-40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>Silicone Grease</td>
<td>91% Isopropyl Alcohol</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe</td>
<td>MDPE</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>21-40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>Silicone Grease</td>
<td>91% Isopropyl Alcohol</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe</td>
<td>HDPE</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>61-80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>Bentonite</td>
<td>Acetone</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe</td>
<td>MDPE</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>81-100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>Bentonite</td>
<td>Acetone</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe</td>
<td>HDPE</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>81-100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>Bentonite</td>
<td>Acetone</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe</td>
<td>MDPE</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>81-100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>Bentonite</td>
<td>Acetone</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe</td>
<td>HDPE</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>81-100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>Silicone Grease</td>
<td>Acetone</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe</td>
<td>MDPE</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0-20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>Silicone Grease</td>
<td>Acetone</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe</td>
<td>HDPE</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>41-60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>Silicone Grease</td>
<td>Acetone</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe</td>
<td>MDPE</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0-20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>Silicone Grease</td>
<td>Acetone</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe</td>
<td>HDPE</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0-20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>99% Isopropyl Alcohol</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe</td>
<td>MDPE</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>81-100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>99% Isopropyl Alcohol</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe</td>
<td>MDPE</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>81-100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>91% Isopropyl Alcohol</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe</td>
<td>MDPE</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>81-100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>None</td>
<td>91% Isopropyl Alcohol</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe</td>
<td>MDPE</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>81-100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Acetone</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe</td>
<td>MDPE</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>81-100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Acetone</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe</td>
<td>MDPE</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>81-100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>Bentonite</td>
<td>99% Isopropyl Alcohol</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe</td>
<td>MDPE</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>81-100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>Bentonite</td>
<td>99% Isopropyl Alcohol</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe</td>
<td>MDPE</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>81-100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>Bentonite</td>
<td>99% Isopropyl Alcohol</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe</td>
<td>HDPE</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>81-100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>Bentonite</td>
<td>99% Isopropyl Alcohol</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe</td>
<td>HDPE</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>81-100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>Silicone Grease</td>
<td>99% Isopropyl Alcohol</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe</td>
<td>MDPE</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>81-100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>Silicone Grease</td>
<td>99% Isopropyl Alcohol</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe</td>
<td>MDPE</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>81-100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>Silicone Grease</td>
<td>99% Isopropyl Alcohol</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe</td>
<td>HDPE</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>81-100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>Silicone Grease</td>
<td>99% Isopropyl Alcohol</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe</td>
<td>HDPE</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>81-100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>Bentonite</td>
<td>Acetone</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe</td>
<td>MDPE</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>81-100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>Bentonite</td>
<td>Acetone</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe</td>
<td>MDPE</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>81-100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>Bentonite</td>
<td>Acetone</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe</td>
<td>HDPE</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>81-100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>Bentonite</td>
<td>Acetone</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe</td>
<td>HDPE</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>81-100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>Silicone Grease</td>
<td>Acetone</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe</td>
<td>MDPE</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>81-100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>Silicone Grease</td>
<td>Acetone</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe</td>
<td>MDPE</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>81-100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>Silicone Grease</td>
<td>Acetone</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe</td>
<td>HDPE</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>81-100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>Silicone Grease</td>
<td>Acetone</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe</td>
<td>HDPE</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>81-100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>99% Isopropyl Alcohol</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe</td>
<td>MDPE</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>81-100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>99% Isopropyl Alcohol</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe</td>
<td>HDPE</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>81-100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>91% Isopropyl Alcohol</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe</td>
<td>MDPE</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>81-100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>91% Isopropyl Alcohol</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe</td>
<td>HDPE</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>81-100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Acetone</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe</td>
<td>MDPE</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>81-100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Acetone</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe</td>
<td>HDPE</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>81-100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>Silicone Grease &amp; Bentonite</td>
<td>DI Water</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe</td>
<td>MDPE</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>81-100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>Silicone Grease &amp; Bentonite</td>
<td>DI Water</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe</td>
<td>MDPE</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>81-100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Solvent Cleaning &amp; PE Joining Procedures – Final Report Addendum</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>Silicone Grease &amp; Bentonite</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>Silicone Grease &amp; Bentonite</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>Bentonite</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>Bentonite</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>Bentonite</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>Bentonite</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>Bentonite</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>Bentonite</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>Bentonite</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>Bentonite</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>Bentonite</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>Bentonite</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>Bentonite</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>Bentonite</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>DI Water</th>
<th>Lint-Free Wipe</th>
<th>HDPE</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>81-100%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>DI Water</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe</td>
<td>HDPE</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>81-100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>DI Water</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe</td>
<td>HDPE</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>81-100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>99% Isopropyl Alcohol</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe</td>
<td>MDPE</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>99% Isopropyl Alcohol</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe</td>
<td>MDPE</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>Bentonite</td>
<td>99% Isopropyl Alcohol</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe</td>
<td>MDPE</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>Bentonite</td>
<td>99% Isopropyl Alcohol</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe</td>
<td>MDPE</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>99% Isopropyl Alcohol</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe</td>
<td>HDPE</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>99% Isopropyl Alcohol</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe</td>
<td>HDPE</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>Bentonite</td>
<td>99% Isopropyl Alcohol</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe</td>
<td>HDPE</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>Bentonite</td>
<td>99% Isopropyl Alcohol</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe</td>
<td>HDPE</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>91% Isopropyl Alcohol</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe</td>
<td>MDPE</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>91% Isopropyl Alcohol</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe</td>
<td>MDPE</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>Bentonite</td>
<td>91% Isopropyl Alcohol</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe</td>
<td>MDPE</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>Bentonite</td>
<td>91% Isopropyl Alcohol</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe</td>
<td>MDPE</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>91% Isopropyl Alcohol</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe</td>
<td>HDPE</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>91% Isopropyl Alcohol</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe</td>
<td>HDPE</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>Bentonite</td>
<td>91% Isopropyl Alcohol</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe</td>
<td>HDPE</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>Bentonite</td>
<td>91% Isopropyl Alcohol</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe</td>
<td>HDPE</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Acetone</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe</td>
<td>MDPE</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Acetone</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe</td>
<td>MDPE</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>Bentonite</td>
<td>Acetone</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe</td>
<td>MDPE</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>Bentonite</td>
<td>Acetone</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe</td>
<td>MDPE</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Acetone</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe</td>
<td>HDPE</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Acetone</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe</td>
<td>HDPE</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>Bentonite</td>
<td>Acetone</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe</td>
<td>HDPE</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>Bentonite</td>
<td>Acetone</td>
<td>Lint-Free Wipe</td>
<td>HDPE</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix B – Decohesion Test Result Photographs

Figure 27. Test Matrix 2, Run Index 1

Figure 28. Test Matrix 2, Run Index 2
Figure 29. Test Matrix 2, Run Index 3

Figure 30. Test Matrix 2, Run Index 4
Figure 31. Test Matrix 2, Run Index 5

Figure 32. Test Matrix 2, Run Index 6
Figure 33. Test Matrix 2, Run Index 7

Figure 34. Test Matrix 2, Run Index 8
Figure 35. Test Matrix 2, Run Index 9

Figure 36. Test Matrix 2, Run Index 10
Figure 37. Test Matrix 2, Run Index 11

Figure 38. Test Matrix 2, Run Index 12
Figure 39. Test Matrix 2, Run Index 13

Figure 40. Test Matrix 2, Run Index 14
Figure 41. Test Matrix 2, Run Index 15

Figure 42. Test Matrix 2, Run Index 16
Figure 43. Test Matrix 2, Run Index 17

Figure 44. Test Matrix 2, Run Index 18
Figure 47. Test Matrix 2, Run Index 21

Figure 48. Test Matrix 2, Run Index 22
Figure 49: Test Matrix 3, Run Index 23

Figure 50: Test Matrix 3, Run Index 24
Figure 51: Test Matrix 3, Run Index 25

Figure 52: Test Matrix 3, Run Index 26
Figure 53: Test Matrix 3, Run Index 27

Figure 54: Test Matrix 3, Run Index 28
Figure 55: Test Matrix 3, Run Index 29

Figure 56: Test Matrix 3, Run Index 30
Figure 57: Test Matrix 3, Run Index 31

Figure 58: Test Matrix 3, Run Index 32
Figure 59: Test Matrix 3, Run Index 33

Figure 60: Test Matrix 3, Run Index 34
Figure 61: Test Matrix 3, Run Index 35

Figure 62: Test Matrix 3, Run Index 36
Figure 63: Test Matrix 3, Run Index 37

Figure 64: Test Matrix 3, Run Index 38
Figure 65: Test Matrix 3, Run Index 39

Figure 66: Test Matrix 3, Run Index 40
Figure 67: Test Matrix 3, Run Index 41

Figure 68: Test Matrix 3, Run Index 42
Figure 69: Test Matrix 3, Run Index 43

Figure 70: Test Matrix 3, Run Index 44
Figure 71. Test Matrix 4, Run Index 45

Figure 72. Test Matrix 4, Run Index 46
Figure 73. Test Matrix 4, Run Index 47, saddle did not fully separate from the pipe during the decohesion test

Figure 74. Test Matrix 4, Run Index 48
Figure 75. Test Matrix 4, Run Index 49

Figure 76. Test Matrix 4, Run Index 50
Figure 77. Test Matrix 4, Run Index 51

Figure 78. Test Matrix 4, Run Index 52
Figure 79. Test Matrix 4, Run Index 53

Figure 80. Test Matrix 4, Run Index 54
Figure 81. Test Matrix 4, Run Index 55

Figure 82. Test Matrix 4, Run Index 56
Figure 83. Test Matrix 4, Run Index 57

Figure 84. Test Matrix 4, Run Index 58
Figure 85. Test Matrix 4, Run Index 59

Figure 86. Test Matrix 4, Run Index 60
Figure 87. Test Matrix 4, Run Index 61

Figure 88. Test Matrix 4, Run Index 62
Figure 89. Test Matrix 4, Run Index 63

Figure 90. Test Matrix 4, Run Index 64
Figure 93. Test Matrix 4, Run Index 67

Figure 94. Test Matrix 4, Run Index 68
Figure 95. Test Matrix 4, Run Index 69

Figure 96. Test Matrix 4, Run Index 70
Figure 97. Test Matrix 4, Run Index 71

Figure 98. Test Matrix 4, Run Index 72
Figure 99. Test Matrix 4, Run Index 73

Figure 100. Test Matrix 4, Run Index 74
Figure 101. Test Matrix 4, Run Index 75

Figure 102. Test Matrix 4, Run Index 76
Figure 103. Test Matrix 4, Run Index 77

Figure 104. Test Matrix 4, Run Index 78
Figure 105. Test Matrix 4, Run Index 79, saddle did not fully separate from the pipe during the decohesion test

Figure 106. Test Matrix 4, Run Index 80
Figure 107. Test Matrix 4, Run Index 81

Figure 108. Test Matrix 4, Run Index 82
Figure 109: Test Matrix 5, Run Index 83

Figure 110: Test Matrix 5, Run Index 84
Figure 111: Test Matrix 5, Run Index 85

Figure 112: Test Matrix 5, Run Index 86
Figure 113: Test Matrix 5, Run Index 87

Figure 114: Test Matrix 5, Run Index 88
Figure 115: Test Matrix 5, Run Index 89

Figure 116: Test Matrix 5, Run Index 90
Figure 117: Test Matrix 5, Run Index 91

Figure 118: Test Matrix 5, Run Index 92
Figure 119: Test Matrix 5, Run Index 93

Figure 120: Test Matrix 5, Run Index 94
Figure 121: Test Matrix 5, Run Index 95

Figure 122: Test Matrix 5, Run Index 96
Figure 123: Test Matrix 5, Run Index 97

Figure 124: Test Matrix 5, Run Index 98
Figure 125: Test Matrix 5, Run Index 99

Figure 126: Test Matrix 5, Run Index 100
Figure 127: Test Matrix 5, Run Index 101

Figure 128: Test Matrix 5, Run Index 102
Figure 129: Test Matrix 5, Run Index 103

Figure 130: Test Matrix 5, Run Index 104
Figure 131. Test Matrix 6, Run Index 105

Figure 132. Test Matrix 6, Run Index 106
Figure 133. Test Matrix 6, Run Index 107

Figure 134. Test Matrix 6, Run Index 108
Figure 135. Test Matrix 7, Run Index 109

Figure 136. Test Matrix 7, Run Index 110
Figure 139. Test Matrix 7, Run Index 113

Figure 140. Test Matrix 7, Run Index 114
Figure 141. Test Matrix 7, Run Index 115

Figure 142. Test Matrix 7, Run Index 116
Figure 143. Test Matrix 7, Run Index 117

Figure 144. Test Matrix 7, Run Index 118
Figure 145. Test Matrix 7, Run Index 119

Figure 146. Test Matrix 7, Run Index 120
Figure 147. Test Matrix 7, Run Index 121

Figure 148. Test Matrix 7, Run Index 122
Figure 149. Test Matrix 7, Run Index 123

Figure 150. Test Matrix 7, Run Index 124
Figure 151. Test Matrix 7, Run Index 125 (saddle did not fully separate from pipe)

Figure 152. Test Matrix 7, Run Index 126
Figure 153. Test Matrix 7, Run Index 127

Figure 154. Test Matrix 7, Run Index 128
Figure 155. Test Matrix 7, Run Index 129

Figure 156. Test Matrix 7, Run Index 130
Figure 157. Test Matrix 7, Run Index 131

Figure 158. Test Matrix 7, Run Index 132
Appendix C – Wiping Technique Reference

The following figures are provided for general reference on the wiping technique employed on tests performed under this project (final report and addendum tests). Although the examples here show only one cleaning tool (lint-free wipe) and contaminant (bentonite powder), the technique was applied identically for all cleaning tools, solvents, and contaminants.

![Wetting of a lint-free wipe with a solvent](image1)

**Figure 159. Wetting of a lint-free wipe with a solvent**
Figure 160. Wrapping of the pipe before wiping pass, arrow indicates wipe direction
Figure 161. Pipe contaminated with bentonite powder
Figure 162. Pipe contaminated with bentonite powder, after one solvent cleaning pass
Figure 163. Pipe contaminated with bentonite powder, after two solvent cleaning passes
Figure 164. Pipe contaminated with bentonite powder, after three solvent cleaning passes, as necessary to make the surface visually appear to be clean; loose contamination has been removed
Figure 165. Pipe contaminated with bentonite powder, end of scraping pass
Figure 166. Pipe contaminated with bentonite powder, saddle clamping promptly after scraping

END OF REPORT